

# NICHE THEORY, POPULATION TRANSFER, AND THE ORIGIN OF THE ANTI-SEMITIC CYCLE

RICHARD FAUSSETTE

---

A niche is the place a living organism occupies in the world. More than just a physical location, a niche is like an organism's profession. A niche is all of the things an organism does to survive and stay fit in the Darwinian sense. The number of niches available sets the number of organisms that survive; niches are always limited by the carrying capacity of the land, so that there is continuous competition for available niches.

Human niche competition is especially fierce. An animal will take from nature what is necessary and sufficient to sustain its niche. A man finds what is merely necessary insufficient. He imagines and persistently seeks greater and greater personal wealth. A man is also the only organism that can consciously change its behavior. Men create their own niches "on the fly" as they adapt to emerging possibilities within the fluid hierarchies of their own socioeconomic systems, which create new niches and eliminate others all the time.

Paul Colinvaux's *Fates of Nations: A Biological Theory of History*,<sup>1</sup> from which this basic description of a niche is derived, provides superb examples of how niche theory makes human history happen.

A fit individual in the Darwinian sense successfully occupies a niche from the limited number available, breeds quickly, and raises offspring which occupy lucrative niches more successfully than the offspring of other organisms. Other organisms are forced into less lucrative niches or prevented from breeding altogether. The organism deploying the most effective behavioral strategy seizes the most lucrative niche. Niche competition is intensified by abrupt changes in the environment that decrease the carrying capacity of the land, leaving it with populations of organisms larger than the supply of available niches. Human niche competition, however, is more often deliberately accelerated by men themselves driven by their insatiable appetite for wealth and power well beyond the demands of comfortable subsistence.

If you think of a niche as a place to sit, niche competition is like musical chairs. When the music stops, somebody's standing.

It is easy to assume that Christian Americans of European descent occupy the most lucrative niches in the country and even the world because they are ceaselessly depicted in the media as the oppressive "white establishment." Though our population is large relative to other groups in North America, a

simple observation indicates that we occupy far fewer lucrative niches than the media myth of the “white establishment” would have you believe.

Consider the obvious: If the majority of European American Christians held the most lucrative niches in American society the media would be unable to depict us as a cruel and “intolerant” majority whose niches rightfully belong to the victims of “white hatred and oppression.” The very fact that the media vilification of the European-American Christian majority goes on apace is proof positive that people who identify with us and have a concern for our welfare are no longer in the ascendancy. There may be many more of us, it is true, but we no longer occupy the elite niches in which power is centralized. Even our ability to depict a positive image of ourselves to our own populations and to the peoples of the world has been wrested from us by the hands of powerful and persistent detractors.

It is not enough to say that the broadcast media are powerful. They create a separate and caustic virtual reality, then broadcast that ideologically driven reality into the homes of millions of people and dare to suggest that their horrific depiction of us is an accurate reflection of who we really are, what we really do, and what our history has really been. We are so saturated with the propaganda many of us can no longer tell the difference between ideology and reality, nor are we the only ones upon whom this burden of a separate “reality” has been imposed. By the time an alien crosses our porous borders he has been conditioned by the international media to believe that the indigenous “white people” are recent interlopers on their own land, noxious bigots who stole the land from the noble people who were here before them. Millions of people are fed these overt and subliminal messages every day via continuous media broadcasts.

Let’s penetrate the conditioning.

Demonizing an indigenous majority population to turn competing minority populations against them is a genocidal tactic with recent historical precedent.

During and after the communist revolution in Russia, “public humiliation was part of a policy aimed at destroying the self-respect of the ‘former classes.’”<sup>2</sup> Russia’s priests, military officers, bankers, industrialists, merchants, and just about anyone with an education in Russia’s prerevolutionary hierarchy became the demonized “former classes.”

With a bit of sensitivity and an ounce of courage, you can readily see that the European-American Christian majority is powerless to deflect the media barrage of humiliation and vilification of our race, our various ethnicities, our Christian religion, and the nation’s history reminiscent of the demonization of Russia’s “former classes” by the communists in the wake of the debilitating Russian revolution. Most of us are oblivious to the policies and processes the communists employed to wrestle the Russian people to the ground. Many of us seem oblivious to what is going on *here* and *now*.

Is it that we lack the sensitivity, that not enough of us have looked, or have we all looked and not enough of us have the courage to reach the critical mass to do something about what is happening to us? We've come to know the bitter taste of media demonization, but our generation does not know revolution. Could the tragic Russian past be our future? In March 1917, a young captain wrote a perceptive letter assessing the Russian revolution and its effects on his regiment:

Between the soldiers and the officers the gap cannot be bridged. For them, we are, and will always remain, the masters. To their way of thinking, what has just taken place isn't a political revolution but a social movement, in which they are the winners and we are the losers. They say to us: "You were the masters before, now it's our turn!"<sup>3</sup>

The officer's letter is from *The Black Book of Communism*, which then goes on to state that "The Bolshevik leaders encouraged anything that might promote this aspiration to 'social revenge' among the masses, seeing it as a moral legitimization of the terror, or what Lenin called 'the just civil war.'"<sup>4</sup>

Consider for a moment the campaign of demonization of the European-American Christian majority and its culture that we see in the media, academia, and legislated from the bench. What if this campaign mirroring the public vilification employed by ardent and merciless communist regimes is completely successful here in North America, not now perhaps, but in a generation or two, something for our grandchildren to inherit?

Imagine an economic downturn of blackouts, food shortages, and riots in which all law enforcement niches are filled by media-molded unassimilated immigrants and indigenous psychologically prepared minorities: law enforcement personnel conditioned to believe that the people they're sworn to protect are noxious bigots who deserve the violence they suffer.

The geographical barriers that separate America from the Old World and the foresight of this nation's founders created an environment in which our now threatened monoculture developed. That process is now in reverse. Transportation and computer technologies have shrunk the distances that formerly separated us and the nation's current rulers are busy importing such media-molded unassimilated immigrants, legally and illegally, from all over the world, fragmenting our society and its culture and devaluing the niches of all Americans.

An American carpenter might earn twenty-five dollars an hour. For that his niche accords him an apartment or a heavily mortgaged single-family house with his wife, his two children, and his mother-in-law. He has a relatively new car in the driveway. An illegal alien carpenter might earn ten dollars an hour, many times what he would earn back home in his native country. He neither pays nor costs his American employer anything in taxes and insurance. The illegal carpenter shares the rent of a single-family home with fifteen other illegal carpenters from the same region of a third-world country. The rent is

paid in cash to the owner, who pays no taxes on the income. When he's sick or injured, the illegal alien goes to the local emergency room, for which he pays nothing. Each undocumented carpenter's family is back in the third world (a few may have a woman and children at subsistence here). Ideally, each man sends his family back in the third world a portion of his income every month. Four of the sixteen have cars. One is a new car.

You can appreciate the devaluation of the niche when you adorn the American carpenter who pays his payroll and property taxes and insurance with the niche trappings of the undocumented carpenter, who pays none. To occupy the devalued niche, the American carpenter would have to fit his whole family in a house with fifteen other carpenters and their families, severely modify his diet, find himself at the mercy of whatever level of health care the government deigned to provide (with national health care, the cost of health insurance is shifted from the employer to the taxpayers which is just what the corporations want), share a pickup with all the men in the house, and consider a smaller family, in an effort to successfully compete with the alien whose family back in the third world costs much less to support.

If the citizens of the United States wanted to bring human rights and prosperity through dignified labor to the rest of the peoples of the world, why would they choose to do so by putting their own hard-earned economic viability in a state of free fall? Why not let the rate of assimilation determine the levels of immigration, rather than the percentage of profit, and thus avoid shocking the culture and impoverishing the population?

All the advantages won by organized labor in the United States of America over the course of the nation's history are now being lost in a massive niche seizure by population transfer.

Niche seizure is not humanitarian. Niche seizure is robbery. Niche seizure robs you of your money and removes the adaptive advantages of your citizenship. To the shadowy internationalists engineering the rapid population transfers that are destroying your way of life, you are no longer a human being.

You have become an overpriced commodity.

The fifteen dollar-an-hour difference between the carpenter's former niche income and the devalued niche income is converted to cash by the niche displacement. The cash is diverted to the unscrupulous entrepreneurs who exploit undocumented workers and can now provide their goods and services at lesser cost than their honest competitors. If the massive population transfers (and the virtual population transfers called "outsourcing") don't stop, and businesses continue to prefer legal and illegal immigrants because they will accept a lower wage (and law enforcement continues to fail), there will be a tipping point beyond which law-abiding businesses and those that respect the dignity of the ordinary American will be unable to compete. There are tremendous pressures on the economy to reach that tipping point. As the

American government abandons border security, people from all over the world are drawn here to enjoy the advantages of our citizenship. They will come here however they can; legally and illegally. The smuggling infrastructure will grow, generating ever-larger cash flows. Private interests drawing on those massive cash flows will fight to keep the cash flowing. The longer the money flows, the more the infrastructure it spawns will develop and the more resources these private interests will be able to bring to bear on any attempt by the people of North America to stem the flow of illegal aliens, weapons, and drugs. It is only a matter of time until we are saturated with the aliens, the weapons, and the drugs, our culture Balkanized and our living standards a fraction of what they were.

If the media demonization persists, an American generation will be born that does not know the real world and its real history, and future generations of demonized Americans will be attacked casually and consistently in their city streets by the alien and minority populations among whom they are dispersed, simply because they are “white people.” Our numbers will decline over the generations, with all the ethnic, racial, and religious hostility directed towards us, and we will be assimilated and vanish into the imperial mosaic that rises from the collective carcass of our once proud national culture.

It is a crime to turn groups of people against other groups of people when two or more different groups of people with different group identities are trying to occupy the same niches in a space that can't support them all.

Cultures are sets of rules. The more cultural borders containing different sets of rules there are in the same geographically bounded space, the more tension there is along them, and so diversity itself—the existence of many different group identities sharing the same space and competing for the same limited number of available niches—is the prerequisite for social conflict at close quarters. A beleaguered American people, dispersed among alien and disparate cohesive groups, will eventually plead for the stability of a police state to manage the high levels of intergroup conflict we will certainly sustain in a balkanized society of innumerable internal cultural and ethnic borders.

In *Darwin's Cathedral*, the evolutionary biologist David Sloan Wilson writes: “Most possible cultures are not adaptive, and we should hope for our own sakes that a process exists for winnowing among the many possibilities, leaving a subset that are at least somewhat well adapted to their environments.”<sup>5</sup>

If the evolutionary biologists know that some cultures are more adaptive than others, how can the media, the academics, and the liberal judges and legislators justify blindly mixing adaptive and maladaptive behaviors in a “multiculture” and call it progress? They can't.

Something else is going on.

The debilitating effects of “multiculturalism,” apparently unknown to liberal ideologues, are well known to scholars, as they were to the ancients. In Genesis, the very first book of the Hebrew Bible, it is written that

The Lord came down to see the city and tower which mortal men had built, and he said, 'Here they are, one people with a single language, and now they have started to do this; henceforward *nothing they have a mind to do will be beyond their reach*. Come, let us go down there and confuse their speech, so that they will not understand what they say to one another.' So the Lord *dispersed* them from there all over the earth, and *they left off building the city*.<sup>6</sup>

To be dispersed among people who speak a multiplicity of languages is not a biblical blessing. It is a biblical curse. Babylon, the gateway to the gods, becomes Babel, the place of confusion. In Deuteronomy Moses warns the people what will happen to them if they ignore the word of the Lord. He tells them, "You will become a horror, a byword, an object lesson to *all the peoples amongst whom the Lord disperses you*."<sup>7</sup> Nor is the biblical perspective on diversity an anomaly. According to Mario Liverani, one of Italy's foremost experts on antiquity, the lesson of the Tower of Babel is far more ancient than the story in Genesis:

Another ancient idea (as old as the Sumerians) found its way here, too: that the plurality of languages and the difficulties of mutual comprehension are part of a historical and ruined world, but that in the perfect world, as originally created by the divine order, all people spoke a single language.<sup>8</sup>

There is no defensible argument for open borders. There is only the fantasy of "multiculturalism" created for our consumption at an intellectual level; we seem content to grope as if it were comprehensible without necessarily facing the fact that it is a scam. The unimpeded flow of ethnically diverse populations into the country will eventually fragment the social structure and tax the carrying capacity of the land far beyond the number of available niches. As the number of lucrative niches declines, the targeted competition over available niches will intensify between American citizens and the diverse groups that continue to cross our porous borders.

Every time the music stops, and the carrying capacity drops, more and more of us will be standing.

The talking heads on the TV call millions of illegal aliens who have crossed those unprotected borders "undocumented workers" as thousands of illegal aliens of diverse group identities, speaking languages other than English, mass in our streets demanding their right to citizenship, while waving flags of countries other than our own.

The borders are effectively down, but when our elected representatives are asked about the failure of the government to protect the borders and uphold the immigration laws, their politically correct response is "The system is broken."

The system is not broken.

It has been reengineered by private interests and liberal ideologues, lobbying our elected representatives to increase the flow of cheap labor and anything

else they can profitably get over the border. Corporations are acting to compete globally, and with globalization the new *multinationals* have abandoned their local loyalties. The population transfers will end the ethnic homogeneity of the United States, increase social strife, lower our living standards, destroy our once vibrant and relatively undiluted monoculture, and tax the carrying capacity of the land, intensifying the competition over available niches. The real war on terror is not *over there*. It is *over here*, on *our* borders, and the native citizens of America's middle and lower classes, regardless of their race or religion, are losing, and this is no accident. In an essay, *The Damned Human Race*, Mark Twain made this timeless observation: "Man is the only animal that robs his helpless fellow of his country – takes possession of it and drives him out of it or destroys him. *Man has done this in all the ages.*"<sup>9</sup>

Repeatedly throughout history, large foreign immigrations have been imposed on indigenous peoples to part them from their wealth and erase their ethnic and national identities. In the Iron Age states that arose at the beginning of the first millennium B.C.

Kinship tended to dominate as the underlying criterion of communal and political identity... boundaries meant less to the Iron Age states than to the city patrimonies of the Bronze Age; and the former exhibited a disquieting proclivity for expansion by uprooting autochthonous populations and dispossessing them of their former lands.<sup>10</sup>

In the late Bronze Age, when the oppressive agricultural states collapsed under the impact of successive waves of uncontrolled immigration, the poorly defended border stones became relatively unimportant. The surviving peoples awash in a sea of immigrants became more attached to their families than to the indefensible land to survive. When another human family wanted your land and was powerful enough to take it, they simply removed your human family from the land by massacre, deportation, or relentless subjugation that eventually eliminated your population. Many of the survivors of the Bronze Age collapse would no longer trust their safety to the indefensible border stones. Their borders would now be where their people were.

The Assyrians of northern Mesopotamia who sank into obscurity after the Bronze Age collapse reemerged in the Iron Age as the first conquerors to use population transfer on a large scale. Assyria had the first standing army with iron weapons and the Assyrians were expert at building siege weapons and employing them with dogged persistence. They used their military and organizational skills to carve out a new niche for themselves, becoming one of the world's first great empires. Captured Assyrian enemies are impaled. Prisoners with desired skills are transplanted to areas far from their homes. Other prisoners, less desirable, are slain and their skulls left in piles on the roadsides. The Assyrians are cruel and warlike, but Chester G. Starr, in *A History of the Ancient World*, considers the Assyrians simply representative of their age:

"The ruthless [Assyrian] spirit perhaps proves not so much that the Assyrians were inhuman monsters as it shows the sternness required to break and harness the [N]ear East."<sup>11</sup>

The Assyrians believed that everything they conquered, including people, was property to use as they wished. They organized large population transfers to fragment the ethnically homogeneous peoples who opposed them, and they moved skilled artisans from wherever they found them to wherever they needed them – to lands far away among people the hapless deportees did not know.

From a military point of view, the capture and removal of native villages had the effect of terrorizing and demoralizing the population and splitting them up to prevent further organized resistance.... The forced resettlement of artisans in the centers of the Assyrian heartland boosted the trained human resources at the disposal of the Assyrian economy.<sup>12</sup>

In 720 B.C. the Assyrians forever change the course of Western civilization when they destroy the northern kingdom of Israel and capture the Israelite capital of Samaria:

Then he [Shalmanaser, king of Assyria] invaded the whole country and reaching Samaria, besieged it for three years. In the ninth year of Hosea he captured Samaria and deported its people to Assyria and settled them in Halah and on the Habor, the river of Gozan, and in the cities of Media.<sup>13</sup>... Then the king of Assyria brought people from Babylon, Cuthah, Avva, Hamath, and Sephairvaim, and settled them in the cities of Samaria in place of the Israelites; so they occupied Samaria and lived in its cities.<sup>14</sup>

The so-called ten tribes of Israel that Shalmanaser deports are dispersed among the people of the Assyrian empire and vanish from history. Most of the Israelite deportees are the aristocracy and the people from Israel's main population centers. The compliant common people of the countryside are allowed to remain and work the land. The Assyrian conquerors then repopulate the urban centers of the northern kingdom of Israel with foreigners, who have foreign gods. These foreigners from Babylon, Cuthah, Avva, Hamath, and Sephairvaim rule on behalf of the Assyrians. They repopulate the northern kingdom's main centers.

The population of the southern kingdom of Judah, which is poor, rural, remote, and of lesser interest to the Assyrians, teems with the incoming survivors. Judah is soon vitalized by the Israelite survivors from the north and reacts religiously and politically to the Assyrian conquest and the diversification of the northern kingdom of Israel:

After the fall of Samaria, with the increasing centralization of the kingdom of Judah, a new, more focused attitude toward religious law and practice began to take hold. Jerusalem's influence – demographic, economic, and political – was now enormous and it was linked to a new political and territorial agenda: the unification of all Israel.<sup>15</sup>

The Israelites who survive the conquest of the northern kingdom of Israel bring literacy and the fervent desire to recover their kingdom with them to the poor, remote southern kingdom of Judah. They devote themselves to the idea that the damage to Israel is reversible. They centralize administration in Jerusalem and set out to remove all traces of the foreign peoples who now populate Israel's main centers in their place. Their aspirations are reflected in a new theology:

When Judah suddenly faced the non-Israelite world on its own, it needed a defining and motivating text. That text was the historical core of the Bible, composed in Jerusalem in the course of the seventh century B.C.E.<sup>16</sup>

Judah begins a religious transformation that will remove the foreigners along with their foreign gods and repopulate the northern kingdom of Israel with Israelites.

Chief among the new policies written into the Bible are the commands to remove the religious cult centers other than Jerusalem (to centralize religious administration) and the prohibition against intermarriage with non-Israelites (to establish a tribal identity).

The family is closing ranks and unifying in purpose. Their borders are where their people are. Those closed ranks and that unity of purpose will preserve and protect the Jewish people in Diaspora for over two thousand years while other peoples suffer extinction.

Now, we have on one hand what the scholars are calling the reactive development of the Hebrew Bible in response to the imposed diversification of Israel in the biblical past and on the other hand we have the present predicament of the world's "white people": today's Christians of European stock who are now suffering the same imposed diversification that the Israelites suffered at the hands of the Assyrians.

If you are a Christian of European stock, again consider the obvious.

Only the reactive development of the world's "white people," *your people*, is missing to complete the comparison.

Israeli archeologists using the archeological record to date the written "history" of the Hebrew Bible can't escape the conclusion that much of the Bible does not appear to be revealed religion at all, but deliberately created religion born of specific historical circumstances. Those specific historical circumstances were the successive imperial deportations of the people of Israel and Judah at the hands of the Assyrians and the Babylonians. Biblical Judaism was reengineered to empower the people of Israel and Judah to recover from successive debilitating niche displacements such as the one you are now suffering if you are a Christian of European stock.

You cannot see the imperial forces marshaled against you because they are cloaked by the pseudorationality of liberal ideology disseminated by the media. Though the response to the assault is at your disposal, you have been deliberately conditioned by the media *not* to respond at all. Your inertia ensures your

decline. You do not know that the biblical laws within the ancient religious texts, inspired by the religious hopes and brilliant strategy of a survivor “remnant,” are ecologically sound and so you cannot appreciate the fact that the Bible has already been developed for you:

*The Hebrew Bible contains an evolutionary strategy for niche recovery; a recovery the Bible’s creators knew might take many generations.*

This new view of the Hebrew Bible is beginning to bubble up and out of the mostly impenetrable ooze of liberal academia, for, in another academic discipline entirely, another scholar, using different tools, has come to the same amazing conclusion. The evolutionary psychologist Kevin MacDonald has convincingly identified an *evolutionary strategy*, a blueprint for the survival of a human family in resource competition with other human families, at the core of Judaism.

Evolutionary psychology, niche theory in ecology, Israeli archeology, and the history of the ancient Near East, all in language and tools peculiar to scholars from these diverse disciplines, now support the idea that the core texts of the Bible were reengineered and essential elements of Judaism deliberately developed to reverse the forced diversification of Israel by the Assyrian empire, to remove the foreigners imported by the Assyrians and recover the niches and the land lost to the people of the northern kingdom of Israel.

The historian Mario Liverani writes:

The paradigm adopted in the book of Joshua is “holy war,” clearly belonging to a Deuteronomistic matrix, but with deep roots in Syro-Palestinian ideology from the period of Assyrian pressure.<sup>17</sup>

Additionally, the idea of the conquest [of Canaan] as a total replacement (by extermination) of the preceding population by another cannot have been imagined before the beginning of imperial deportations.<sup>18</sup>

The response of the survivors of the northern kingdom of Israel and the southern kingdom of Judah to forced population transfer and its multicultural context is a collection of religious texts promoting a homogeneous population with a strong kinship system and a vibrant and exclusive monoculture under monotheism. The biblical authors knew then, the way evolutionary biologists know now, that some cultures *are* more adaptive than others. They understood that they would have to adapt to the conditions imposed by the Assyrian conquest to survive. If they were to survive as a people, all their people would have to share the same adaptive behaviors. So they religiously outlawed maladaptive behaviors among their own people and removed less adaptive disciplines and the foreigners practicing them in the land they wished to reclaim. Throughout the Bible, the people of Israel and Judah are directed by God to religiously preserve their human family and religiously reject foreign rule, foreign gods, and the “multiculturalism” imposed upon the northern kingdom of Israel by the Assyrians.

The first rumbling of the Bible's reactive development occurs only decades after the destruction of the northern kingdom during a period of apparent Assyrian weakness, when King Hezekiah of Judah rebels against the Assyrian empire. First he strengthens religious reforms in Judah, turning the people's eyes to what was becoming the adaptive discipline of the Bible. Then, to centralize his administration, he destroys the religious cult centers other than Jerusalem. Despite Hezekiah's religious renewal and his exhaustive preparations for the expected siege, the Assyrians crush his rebellion and devastate Judah's countryside. Only Jerusalem itself is spared destruction, while a significant number of Israelites is again deported to Assyria. A few years after the failed rebellion, Hezekiah dies, his son Manasseh comes to the throne, and religious pluralism is restored as Manasseh makes Judah a compliant and integral part of the Assyrian economy.

The pendulum swings from religious pluralism to religious purity, as the kings of Judah either accommodate the conquerors and reflect the forced diversity of the empire, or purify their ranks to reflect their own vision of themselves as a "chosen people" entitled to the land of Israel and prepared to take it. When they have the power, they remove the foreigners. When they don't, they cooperate with those who do, until a certain future promised by their God, in which they will once again have sufficient power to impose their ethnic and religious program in the lands they claim as their own. In 597 B.C., a little over a hundred years after the Assyrian conquest, the Israelites suffer another devastating blow. The Babylonians, who have risen to occupy the power vacuum that develops as the Assyrian empire collapses, now conquer the surviving southern kingdom of Judah and deport Judah's upper classes, many of whom are the descendants of those who had escaped the Assyrian conquest of Israel and reactively adapted their existing traditions to address that first imperial deportation and niche seizure. The Judahite deportees become one foreign community among many other foreign communities in cosmopolitan Babylon. Lacking the territorial borders they had known in Canaan, they strengthen the religious and cultural barriers that were erected after the Assyrian deportations to further maintain the cultural and genetic homogeneity of their community while they are in Babylon.

They are no longer bound by indefensible border stones.

Their borders are where their people are.

A generation later, in 539 B.C., Cyrus, emperor of Persia, conquers Babylon and frees many of the captive communities held by the Babylonians. In 458 B.C. the Persian emperor Artaxerxes charges Ezra, the head of the Jewish community in Babylonia, with the administration of a new law book for his religious community. Those in Ezra's community who wish to return to Jerusalem under Ezra's administration are given permission to leave Babylon. Upon their arrival in Jerusalem, Ezra and his group of "returnees" have become so insular that they will not intermarry with those who were left behind a

few generations ago. When Samaritans offer to help the returnees rebuild the temple, they are refused.

Ezra's rejection of religious pluralism, integration, and multiculturalism is complete:

The land which you are entering and will possess is a polluted land, polluted by the foreign population with their abominable practices, which have made it unclean from end to end. Therefore do not give your daughters in marriage to their sons, and do not marry your sons to their daughters, and never seek their welfare or prosperity. Thus you will be strong and enjoy the good things of the land, and pass it on to your children as an everlasting possession.<sup>19</sup>

Each time the integrity of the kingdoms of Israel and Judah is threatened, the response is solidarity and a religious renewal based on tribal ties and a superior monoculture.

Thousands of years later, our conquerors are using the same destructive policy and process of niche seizure by population transfer that the Assyrians and the Babylonians used upon the people of Israel and Judah, as millions of aliens of diverse group identities stream over our borders in open violation of the laws of the land — laws our own infiltrated government betrays — while the legislated crime of “outsourcing” puts thousands of our former niches under foreign administration.

What will *we* do as the American empire develops, as our culture is fragmented and our religion removed from the public arena so as not to offend the sensibilities of the imported foreigners and their foreign gods, who have come to administer the country for our conquerors? Will we respond like the Israelites and complete the comparison by abandoning the indefensible border stones to face the fact that our borders are where our people are? Or will we shrink from the engagement and choose the demise of our own people and culture, awash in an insidiously imposed stew of illegal aliens and “multiculture?”

Continue to penetrate the conditioning.

Your survival is not threatened because you are a “racist.” Your survival is threatened because you are a Christian of European stock. The fact is, you barely have any racial or ethnic identity left at all.

Our enemies are not Assyrians. They are the agents of the global economy, ethnic elites (their borders are where their people are) colluding with our own managerial elites. Mesmerized by the prospect of fantastic incomes, they are centralizing the world's economy and abandoning local loyalties for a “citizenship” of the world. Unable to conquer us militarily, they have succeeded in engaging our armed forces around the world as they repopulate our urban centers and our law enforcement agencies with an alien elite and an alien underclass rigorously conditioned by the media.

How do you know you're in an empire?

The borders come down and the measure of a man is discounted.

The policy and process of niche seizure that facilitated Assyrian administration by fragmenting the indigenous population of the northern kingdom of Israel to prevent organized resistance is the same policy and process of niche seizure and population transfer now engulfing the citizens of the United States of America, and in fact engulfing Christians of European stock everywhere in the world they are found. These processes will eventually engulf and disperse every homogeneous population in the world that integrates with and becomes dependent upon the global economy. The media call these historical processes "open border policy." The Assyrians began the first great empire using these processes to break up human families and their cohesive communities, removing a people's upper classes and replacing them with foreign tax farmers, deliberately structuring imperial administration so that the interests of the foreign administrators and the indigenous people conflicted. The communists used these processes during the Russian revolution to annihilate the "former classes."

Functionally, it's war.

We are told that it is good for the economy.

Whose economy?

In the eighth century B.C. it was the Assyrian conqueror's economy, administered by his imported foreign appointees—not the economy of the indigenous Israelites—that benefited from the repopulation of Israel's administrative centers with foreigners. Because the Assyrians presented themselves militarily, they were an easily recognizable enemy. Open warfare, however, is only one manifestation of resource competition and the struggle for available niches. When treaties end open warfare, niche competition inevitably and immediately takes on a more clandestine, sinister form.

Thousands of clay tablets upon which economic transactions were recorded have been recovered from Babylon's Achaemenid period, when the clan of the Achaemenidae of the Persian tribe of Pasargadae ruled over the hapless natives of Babylon. A. T. Olmstead reviews the contents of the tablets in his *History of the Persian Empire*:

Slave sales form the largest single group of [our] documents and testify to an enormous increase in the slave population...<sup>20</sup>

...More and more slaves were being apprenticed to trades which had formerly been carried on by freemen...<sup>21</sup>

...Slaves were permitted to engage in business for themselves and tended to supplant the small merchant.<sup>22</sup>

Olmstead then writes, "While the serf is most often associated with the great temple estates, slaves more generally are found in possession of the upper classes."<sup>23</sup>

The Persian conquering elites have imported an underclass of slaves, cheap labor to devalue local niches and impoverish the Babylonian middle and lower classes.

Demonize a potentially hostile indigenous population, seize their niches, and offer them to inbound and upward bound minority populations who cost less to maintain and are eager for any opportunity to better themselves.

Pocket the difference.

The replacement of indigenous elites with foreign administrators and the importation of an alien underclass is a conqueror's prerogative. It can be accomplished insidiously and politically ("I didn't do it – the system is broken") or openly and militarily, often with extreme cruelty.

When Clearchus, leader of a band of mercenaries, becomes tyrant of Heracleia, an important Greek city on the Black Sea coast in 363 B.C., he tortures sixty Heracleian senators to death, grants the city's slaves citizenship, and adds insult to injury by compelling the women of Heracleia's aristocracy to marry the former slaves. The indigenous elites and the indigenous middle and lower classes are humiliated and ruined by the deliberate elevation of Heracleia's slave population.

Niche seizure by population transfer is a timeless process and has been around as long as there have been stratified societies with lucrative niches to seize. You can see it today: In the media propaganda, the chaos that is the federal government, the unprotected borders, and the day laborers in the streets in every town and city in America.

Observing the uncontrolled immigration and the consequent niche displacement of indigenous Americans and their traditional God by foreigners and their foreign gods – so strikingly similar to the Assyrian strategy of war – you would think that the Assyrians had survived through the millennia and continue to expand a phantom empire, but of course the Assyrians are no longer in the ascendancy.

Having mistakenly universalized what was the Bible's reactive strategy to a specific set of historical circumstances – the Assyrian conquest that occurred almost three thousand years ago – Jews in Diaspora insist on placing themselves in the unfortunate and religiously anachronistic position of displacing native peoples and native gods wherever they go, indiscriminately implementing their religiously sanctioned process of niche recovery. The vicious anti-Semitic cycle was appropriately born in the aftermath of the Assyrian conquest of the northern kingdom of Israel but inappropriately continues as the response to the Assyrian conquest is universalized by Diaspora communities against the rest of mankind throughout history and around the world.

In *The Culture of Critique*, the third volume of Kevin MacDonald's trilogy on the evolutionary strategy of Judaism, MacDonald quotes prominent Jewish social scientist and ethnic activist Earl Raab:

The Census bureau has just reported that about half of the American population will soon be non-white or non-European. And they will all be American citizens. We have tipped beyond the point where a Nazi-Aryan party will be able to prevail in this country. We [Jews] have been

nourishing the American climate of opposition to bigotry for about half a century. That climate has not yet been perfected, but the heterogeneous nature of our population tends to make it irreversible—and makes our constitutional constraints against bigotry more practical than ever.<sup>23</sup>

Replace the word *bigotry* with *self-defense* in the quote above and Raab is clearly reciting the Assyrian strategy as described in *The Bible Unearthed* below:

From a military point of view, the capture and removal of native villages had the effect of *terrorizing and demoralizing the population and splitting them up to prevent further organized resistance...*<sup>24</sup>

We have not been conquered militarily. Our indigenous communities cannot be forcibly moved and split up, so the foreigners are being brought here in numbers large enough to devalue our niches, fragment our communities, and place us under foreign administration. The result is the same. Look for the Bible's ideal of niche recovery and the Assyrian strategy of niche displacement in the quote below:

As David Petegorsky, executive director of the American Jewish Congress, stated in an address to the biennial convention of the American Jewish Congress in 1948: "We are profoundly convinced that Jewish survival will depend on *Jewish statehood in Palestine*, on the one hand, and on the existence of a creative, conscious and well adjusted community in this country on the other. Such a creative community can exist only within the framework of a progressive and expanding democratic society, which through its institutions and public policies gives full expression to the concept of *cultural pluralism*."<sup>25</sup>

In other words, the Jews will recover their niches in the lost nation of Israel, which will be a Jewish land under Jewish rule (homogeneous and religiously unified), but the host nations where Jews settle in Diaspora are condemned to a fractious and imposed proto-Assyrian cultural pluralism (heterogeneous with no dominant religious influence) that ensures Jewish hegemony in Diaspora.

You can see Diaspora Judaism wrestling landed societies to the ground in Kevin MacDonald's *Separation and Its Discontents*, where he advances the proposition that gentile nations react in turn to the evolutionary strategy of Judaism in precisely the same way archeologists and historians are now saying Judaism reactively developed in the wake of the Assyrian conquest. MacDonald writes: "It is an important proposition of this and the following two chapters that [these] gentile groups come to resemble Judaism in certain critical ways.... that they become in effect mirror images of Judaism."<sup>26</sup>

In 711 A.D. Muslims from North Africa conquer Spain. Jews enjoy a protected status in North Africa under the Muslims. "Jews were under single rule and part of a large network of commercial ties connecting the different sectors of the Muslim empire."<sup>27</sup>

...In Spain, where the Visigoths had prohibited all manifestations of Judaism and had separated children from their families in order to bring them up as Christians, the Jews welcomed the Muslims as saviors from long persecution. Moreover, they actively collaborated with the invaders...Many Jews who had left Spain during the Visigothic persecutions returned there from North Africa.<sup>28</sup>

It is a military conquest, an armed and open displacement of indigenous rulers who had wanted their population homogeneous and their religion unified. Spain will now endure an uneasy balance of three major groups: the indigenous Christians, their Muslim conquerors, and Diaspora Jews, who figure prominently in Muslim administration. It will be eight centuries of subjugation before Spain is once again a Christian nation, homogeneous and religiously unified.

In a book titled *1492: The Decline of Medievalism and the Rise of the Modern Age*, Barnet Litvinoff tells us that:

Christian, Muslim and Jew...might ultimately have melded into one had not Christendom, suffering the shock of its narrow escape, decided, upon regaining its own destiny, that its preservation here demanded a purity of blood. Thus the doctrine of racialism was born.<sup>29</sup>

Of course, Litvinoff's statement puts the cart before the horse. The doctrine of racialism, already embraced by the Jewish community in Diaspora, has elicited a reactive concern for racial purity among indigenous Spaniards. It is the gradual infiltration of the Spanish upper classes by a self-segregated, racially organized Jewish community under the New Christian mantle that elicits a racist response. The Jews who convert to Christianity (New Christians) to assuage the concerns of their Iberian hosts continue to intermarry only among their own ethnically Jewish families. According to MacDonald, "The continued concern of the Inquisition with *limpieza* (blood purity) thus mirrored rather precisely the continued practice of endogamy among at least a large subset of New Christians." As New Christians, "converted" Jews are a cohesive and prolific group. "Even in 1629—nearly 150 years after the beginning of the Inquisition—the descendants of Jews were described by a conference of theologians as proliferating like the 'sands of the sea.'"<sup>30</sup>

Diaspora Jews aided and abetted a foreign conquering elite, effectively displacing Spain's indigenous upper classes, and they attacked Christianity, a foreign god from their perspective, but the native religion from the perspective of the indigenous people. In *The Culture of Critique*, Kevin MacDonald writes:

Faur (1992, 31ff) shows that Conversos in fifteenth and sixteenth century Spain were vastly overrepresented among the humanist thinkers who opposed the corporate nature of Spanish society centered around Christianity. In describing the general thrust of these writers, Faur (1992, 31) notes that "Although the strategy varied—from the creation of highly sophisticated literary works to the writing of scholarly and philosophical

compositions — the goal was one: to present ideas and methodologies that would displace the values and institutions of the 'old Christian.'" <sup>31</sup>

One must recall that chief among the new policies written into the Hebrew Bible in the wake of the Assyrian conquest are the commands to remove the religious cult centers other than Jerusalem and to prohibit intermarriage with non-Israelites. "Both those policies were probably related to the practical challenge of expanding into parts of the land of Israel where large numbers of non-Israelites had been settled by the Assyrians, especially the southern regions of the former northern kingdom, around Bethel."<sup>32</sup>

It is evident that these reactive policies, specifically designed in the seventh century B.C. to recover the niches of the people of the kingdom of Israel from Assyrian administration, are still dictating the behavior of Diaspora Jews in medieval Spain, who are insular and prolific niche seizers and intolerant of the religion of the indigenous people. The Assyrian counterstrategy is also evident in the greatest conflict of the twentieth century, Judaism's struggle with Nazi Germany. In the wake of Jewish emancipation in Europe, non-Jews had mistakenly believed that Jews would eventually assimilate into the social structure of the nations in which they lived. "When this failed to happen, and the Jews, despite emancipation and acculturation, continued to be conspicuously evident, a certain uneasiness, not to say a sense of outright scandal, was experienced by Gentiles..."<sup>33</sup>

The great majority of orthodox and Reform Jews constitutes an alien elite in Germany with no intention of assimilation. They oppose intermarriage and compete socially and economically with the peoples of the European nations in which they live. The original biblical goal of wresting the administration of their lost nation of Israel from Assyrian conquerors has become, in Diaspora, the insidious enterprise of displacing the administration of indigenous populations, which if carried to its logical extreme must inevitably result in the displacement of entire indigenous populations. This is the only explanation for the highly resented "disproportionate, high-profile involvement of Jews in leftist, anti-nationalist revolutionary movements in Germany, Hungary, the Soviet Union, and Poland."<sup>34</sup>

In fact, "Beginning in the nineteenth century, "Whatever their situation... in almost every country about which we have information, a segment of the Jewish community played a very vital role in movements designed to undermine the existing order."<sup>35</sup>

They are not attacking an imperial administration consisting of foreigners imported by Assyrian conquerors. They are attacking the existing order in the host nations in which they reside. Nor are they attacking foreign gods. They are attacking native gods. According to a late nineteenth century anti-Semitic leader, Adolf Stoecker: "I found Berlin in the hands of Progressives — who were hostile to the Church — and the Social Democrats — who were hostile to God; Judaism ruled in both parties."<sup>36</sup>

The Germans, recognizing Jews as the separate people they have chosen to remain, react to the niche seizures and Jewish attempts to destabilize German society and to vilify the traditional religion of Christianity. The Germans also become increasingly insular and separate themselves from the Jews with as much rigor as the Jews apply to their own religiously mandated self-segregation, and they seek to purify their blood of *la mancha*, the stain, precisely the *limpieza* reaction of indigenous Spaniards in the fifteenth century, which was also precisely the reaction of the authors of the Bible in the wake of the Assyrian conquest in the eighth century B.C. Ezra had told those he led out of captivity in Babylon, "...Do not give your daughters in marriage to their sons, and do not marry your sons to their daughters, and never seek their welfare or prosperity."

Today, the anti-Semitic cycle continues as Jews insist, consciously or unconsciously, on mounting an offensive formulated in seventh century B.C. Judah and perfected in Babylonian captivity – an evolutionary strategy of niche recovery that reactively developed in response to a set of specific historical circumstances that occurred in the Middle East almost three thousand years ago.

In May 2006, the United States Senate voted on immigration bill S.2611. All of our Jewish senators voted for the bill and the overwhelming majority of Catholic senators voted for the bill. Without the Jewish and Catholic support for what is basically an amnesty for illegal aliens, Senate support for the bill would have been evenly divided.

There are more Jews in the Senate than ever before. Neoconservative influence in the White House has embroiled us in a war from which we cannot extricate ourselves. The move toward open borders and the displacement of indigenous elites continues apace, as Christianity is removed from the public arena so as not to offend the sensibilities of the imported foreigners and their foreign gods, who have come to administer the country for our globalist conquerors. We are suffering the importation of an alien elite and the fragmentation of our native culture, which was precisely the strategy of the Assyrian conquest suffered by the northern kingdom of Israel in 720 B.C.

We now understand how universalizing a religious strategy that was developed to resolve an ancient local conflict can cause cyclical suffering throughout the historical record, but what are we to make of the support of open borders among Catholic politicians and clergy? In *Breach of Faith: American Churches and the Immigration Crisis*, Dr. James C. Russell tells us "the churches, of practically all faiths and denominations, have been among the foremost promoters of open borders, continually pressing for the liberalization of immigration laws."<sup>37</sup> Reading *Breach of Faith*, I could see the paradox Dr. Russell was wrestling with and asked the following question when I reviewed his book for *The Social Contract*:

If, in the act of embracing the greater human family, the policies of the Christian churches hasten the demise of those human families who have historically nurtured and maintained them, are they truly “universal” churches? Is it religion?<sup>38</sup>

Diaspora Jews are dangerous to themselves and to the host nations in which they reside because they understand and maintain their conservative religious traditions. Catholic politicians and the Christian clergy (as Russell’s paradox suggests) are dangerous to themselves and the Christians whose niches they’re giving away – *because they don’t*.

Today’s internationalists are our modern “Assyrians.” Their deliberate importation of cheap labor is creating an alien elite to govern us and an alien underclass to impoverish us, and we are standing idly by as they march brazenly down our cities’ streets. Our remaining middle and lower classes are at the mercy of the same strategy of niche displacement that the cruel and warlike Assyrians employed to govern their empire.

Our enemies, in centralizing the world’s economy, do not carry iron spears or leave skulls piled on the roadsides. They wear suits and earn their money at our expense by seizing our niches and giving them to relatively inexpensive illegal aliens and minorities, not to do the aliens or even our indigenous minorities any good, but to rob, fragment, and disappoint our indigenous majority population who are fast becoming America’s “former classes.”

The response to the assault is at our disposal, but we have been publicly demonized as “racists” and deliberately conditioned by the media *not* to respond at all. Our inertia ensures our decline.

Our majority population might have stood in the way of the global population redistribution, the loss of national sovereignties, and the emergence of one world government, but we are being demonized, dispersed, and impoverished until we are no longer a threat. As more legal and illegal aliens flood the country and our industries are exported, the competition over available niches will intensify. All the niches those aliens seek to occupy will be devalued across the board to whatever level their imported cheap labor will accept...and every time the music stops and the carrying capacity drops, more and more of us will be standing.

---

*Richard Faussette, a graduate of CUNY (BA Psychology/Anthropology), is a freelance writer and the author of two essays: “Natural Selection and the Nature of God,” 1998 and “True Religion – The Darwinian Interpretation of Biblical Symbols,” 2002.*

---

## ENDNOTES

1. Paul Colinvaux's *Fates of Nations: A Biological Theory of History* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1980).
2. Brian Moynahan, *The Russian Century* (New York: Random House, 1994), 106.
3. Nicolas Werth, *The Black Book of Communism* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press 1999), 61.
4. *Ibid.*
5. David Sloan Wilson, *Darwin's Cathedral: Evolution, Religion, and the Nature of Society* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2002), 204.
6. Genesis 11:4-8.
7. Deuteronomy 28:37.
8. Mario Liverani, *Israel's History and the History of Israel* (Equinox, London, 2003), 236.
9. Samuel Longhorne Clemens, *The Damned Human Race*, in *The American Tradition in Literature*, vol. (3rd edition), edited by Sculley Bradley, Richmond Croom Beatty, E. Hudson Long (New York: Grosset & Dunlap, 1967), 494.
10. Donald B. Redford, *Egypt, Canaan, and Israel in Ancient Times* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1992), 298.
11. Chester G. Starr, *A History of the Ancient World* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1991), 133.
12. Israel Finkelstein and Neil Asher Silberman, *The Bible Unearthed: Archeology's New Vision of Ancient Israel and the Origin of Its Sacred Texts* (New York: Touchstone, 2002), 216.
13. 2 Kings 17: 5-6.
14. 2 Kings 17: 24--25.
15. Finkelstein and Silberman, *The Bible Unearthed*, 247.
16. *Ibid.*, 229.
17. Liverani, *Israel's History and the History of Israel*, 286.
18. *Ibid.*, 287.
19. Ezra 9: 11-13
20. A.T. Olmstead, *History of the Persian Empire* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1959), 76.
21. *Ibid.*, 76.
22. *Ibid.*, 77.
23. Kevin MacDonald, *Culture of Critique: An Evolutionary Analysis of Jewish Involvement in Twentieth Century Intellectual and Political Movements* (Westport, CT: Praeger, 1998), 246-247.
24. Finkelstein and Silberman, *The Bible Unearthed*, 216.
25. MacDonald, *Culture of Critique*, 251.
26. Kevin MacDonald, *Separation and Its Discontents: Toward an Evolutionary Theory of Anti-Semitism* (Westport, CT: Praeger, 1998), 90.
27. *A Historical Atlas of the Jewish People: From the Time of the Patriarchs to the Present*, Eli Barnavi, ed. (London: Kuperard, 1998), 86.
28. *Ibid.*, 81.

29. Barnet Litvinoff, *1492: The Decline of Medievalism and the Rise of the Modern Age* (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1991), 22.
30. MacDonald, *Separation and Its Discontents*, 131.
31. MacDonald, *The Culture of Critique*, 6-7.
32. Finkelstein and Silberman, *The Bible Unearthed*, 284.
33. Kevin MacDonald, *Separation and Its Discontents*, 137.
34. *Ibid.*, 141.
35. *Ibid.*, 59.
36. *Ibid.*, 139.
37. James C. Russell, *Breach of Faith: American Churches and the Immigration Crisis* (Raleigh, NC: Representative Government Press, 2004), 1.
38. Richard Faussette, "The Churches & Liberal Immigration Policies," a review of James C. Russell's *Breach of Faith*, in *The Social Contract* 15: 3 (Spring 2005), 215.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]